Extraction of creatinine by adsorption onto pure micro- and mesoporous silica materials
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This report describes the compositional and structural design strategy of a micro- and mesoporous silica materials mesh for the efficient removal of uremic toxins towards blood purification application. A series of mesoporous textured amorphous silica powdered samples have been prepared using non-ionic polyoxyethylene alikali surfactants which are inexpensive, biodegradable, and non-toxic materials. A cubic structure and a more or less orderly porosity are obtained from a highly acidic reaction mixture using tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS). As expected, the nature of the surfactant used (i.e. CnH2n+1(EO)x-OH type) influences the porous structure and leads to pores in the order of 2 (microporous) to 4 nm (mesoporous) in addition to thick silica walls. Calcined materials lead amorphous silica with no toxicity; therefore, they have the potential to be utilized as a new approach to removing creatinine selectively from the bloodstream.
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Introduction

Creatinine is a chemical waste molecule that is generated from muscle metabolism when creatine breaks down. Creatinine is one of the substances that kidneys normally eliminate from the body. High levels of creatinine may indicate that the kidney is damaged and not working properly. A creatinine blood test measures the level of creatinine in the blood. Kidney failure causes dangerous concentrations of waste products, such as potassium, urea and creatinine, to build-up in the body. Apart from having a kidney transplant, the next best solution for patients is dialysis, which is tedious. It is time-consuming and relies on access to specialist equipment, and, usually, a hospital. Often these requirements aren’t accessible in rural parts of developing countries and disaster areas.

Easily treatments based on adsorption were proposed by Bergé-Lefranc et al. [1, 2] and showed that creatinine adsorption which is the highest when adsorbed from pure water, is reduced when adsorbed from sodium chloride solution or from physiological buffered saline solutions. Once adsorbed, creatinine is present in protonated form within the zeolite, in equilibrium with other cations of the medium. Adsorption properties of zeolites and active carbons [3-7] show that adsorption capacities of uremic toxins over Faujasite (HFAU) and Beta (HBEA) have been evaluated by varying the composition of solvent by using water, physiological, and sodium chloride solutions.
HFAU was found to be more efficient in adsorption of these molecules. The adsorption results over HFAU were compared in various conditions to understand the adsorption mechanism. Thus, the adsorption mechanism was confirmed also by Fourier transform infrared and X-ray diffraction analysis, and it is found to be through the interaction of creatinine by hydrogen bonding on two types of sites on zeolites.

Diffuse reflectance UV spectroscopy was used to quantify the amount of creatinine adsorbed onto mordenite type zeolite. Quantification by diffuse reflectance UV spectroscopy after microporous solids extraction was linearly correlated with creatinine concentration whatever the medium. Finally, hydrophobic HFAU zeolite seems to be an efficient adsorbent; it is able to be easily regenerated under air, through retention of these initial adsorption properties. It is possible to eliminate 75% creatinine by adsorption onto an acidic mordenite (MOR) and 60% p-cresol by adsorption onto a hydrophobic silicalite (MFI). These results are comparable or better than conventional dialysis systems where the elimination is about 67% for creatinine and about 29% for p-cresol. Adsorption of uremic toxins onto active carbon is equal or better than onto zeolites, however, the application of this adsorbent has to be rejected as adsorption is non-specific.

Mechanism of creatinine adsorption was also study recently by Nakemawa et al. [8] and Takai et al. [9] which develop and describe a nanofiber mesh that soaks up creatinine, this is an important step towards a portable blood purification system. They prepared a zeolite-polymer hybrid nanofiber mesh using a biocompatible polymer, poly (ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol) (EVOH) demonstrated elsewhere as biomaterial [10-12]. Authors trapped a zeolite into a composite mesh by electrospinning it with the biocompatible polymer, poly-(ethylene-co-vinylalcohol), to prevent the zeolite from being released into the bloodstream. They then tested the ability of the composite mesh to adsorb creatinine from solution. The team had worried that the properties of EVOH would disable the adsorption properties of the zeolite, but instead they found the adsorption capacity of the mesh was 67% of the free zeolites. The greatest challenge was precisely controlling the crystallinity of the polymer-zeolite fibers so that they were both insoluble and hydrophilic. The fibers have to be hydrophilic so that the uremic toxin could access the embedded zeolites, but hydrophilic fibers are not stable in water.

Mesoporous materials have opened many new possibilities for applications in catalysis, separation, and nanoscience due to their large, controllable pore sizes and high surface areas. The pore structure, such as channel connectivity and pore size of the mesoporous materials is one of the most important physical parameters of these materials for practical applications and must be designed depending on their uses [13-19].

In a brief history on these materials made from nonionic surfactants as structure-directing agents, we note two notable types of mesostructured oxides which have been prepared using assembly mechanisms involving hydrogen-bonding interactions of neutral precursors with nonelectrostatic surfactants [20-23]. The first of these mechanisms denoted S0I0, utilized primary alkylamines as structure-directing agents [20, 21]. Silica mesostructures formed by this process were denoted HMS silica. The second nonelectrostatic mesostructure assembly route, denoted N0I0, involved the use of nonionic surfactants, including alkylpoly (ethylene oxide) diblock copolymer surfactant, bis (poly(ethylene oxide))polypropylene triblock copolymer surfactants, and ethoxylated sorbitan esters [22-29]. Siliceous materials formed by this pathway were designated as MSU-X materials. Using both S0I0 and N0I0 mechanisms, mesoporous materials related to those formed by electrostatic assembly are obtained, but with more disordered framework structures resulting. Kim et al. [28] studied the formation of mesoporous silica materials using blends of
diblock copolymers \( \text{C}_{n} \text{H}_{2n+1} \text{(OCH}_{2} \text{CH}_{2})_{\text{n}} \text{OH} \), verifying that mesostructure of the silica materials changes as the volume of the hydrophilic EO group of the surfactant increases, from lamellar to two-dimensional hexagonal (p6mm), three-dimensional hexagonal, a cubic phase, and another cubic phase with Im3m symmetry.

The research reported here extends this all previous work to improve the creatinine adsorption onto amorphous purely silica materials mesostructured. These solids have both a micro- and mesoporosity texture. Ordered silica mesoporous/microporous particles have been obtained by a facile protocol of preparation in acidic medium using polyethoxylene ethers. Samples freshly obtained and calcined are used for the adsorption of creatinine by a formula using serum (blood) creatinine level. At ambient temperature and neutral pH, the adsorption capacities of these materials were up to 1 mg/g and being appreciate adsorbents.

### Material and methods

1. **Chemicals**

Polyethylene glycol tert- octylphenyl ether with linear formula \( \text{C}_{18} \text{H}_{37} \text{(OCH}_{2} \text{CH}_{2})_{\text{n}} \text{OH} \), \( n = 7 \) or \( 8 \) named Triton™ X-114, Polyoxyethylene (100) stearyl ether with formula \( \text{C}_{18} \text{H}_{37} \text{(OCH}_{2} \text{CH}_{2})_{\text{n}} \text{OH} \), \( n = 100 \) named Brij® S 100 and tetraethoxylsilsilicate (Si\((\text{OC}_{2} \text{H}_{5})_{4}\)) TEOS were purchased from Aldrich-Sigma, USA. Detailed nomenclature including chemical formulas of the whole surfactants used is given in Table 1. Deionized water and hydrochloric acid (HCl 1 mol/l) have been used for each synthesis; the HCl 1 mol/l was prepared from 37% fuming hydrochloric acid (Aldrich-Sigma).

2. **Synthesis of sorbents**

Easy protocol of synthesis consists in a mixing of an aqueous solution of the surfactant with HCl 1 mol/l solution under constant stirring for 1 hour. The requisite amount of TEOS was added by stirring for 24 hours at room temperature. The mixture was then heated at 353K (or 373K) overnight without stirring. The precipitated solid product was recovered by filtration, washed and dried at 353K. For example, 2 g of Triton™ X-114 in 30 g of deionized water were stirred for 10 minutes before adding 120 ml of HCl 1 mol/l solution. An amount of TEOS (9 g) was added to this homogeneous mixture and kept under vigorous stirring for 24 hours. The mixture was then introduced into a sealed tube and heated at 373K for 48 hours. The resulting white precipitates were filtered out, washed with copious amounts of H2O, and allowed to air dry at room temperature for 24 h. The surfactant was removed by calcinations in air at 823K for 4h. This temperature was reached with a heating rate of 10°C/min and a first plateau at 373K for 1h. After the second plateau at 823K for 4 hrs, the oven was cooled down at room temperature with a cooling rate of about 5K/min and a fine white powder was recovered. Samples are named X2-48-80 and X5-48-80 from synthesis using 2 g and 5 g of Triton X114 respectively, heated at 353K for 48h. Samples obtained from Brij® S100 are named BG-3-1 and BG-6-1 by using 3 g and 6 g of surfactant.

### 3. Characterization methods

Small-angle X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on an EMPYREAN PANalytical X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using Cu Ka radiation (\( \lambda = 0.15418 \) nm) in the 2θ range of 0.5–20° with a scanning rate of 0.5°/min. The X'PertPlus© software enabled the counting of the spectra and the calculation of pore-pore distance by indexing the reflections. The N2 isotherms were measured by automated apparatus ASAP 2020 (Micrometeritics) at 77K. Prior to \( \text{N}_{2} \) adsorption analysis; the samples were degassed at 673K for 4h. The BET surface areas were calculated based on the linear part of the BET plot \( (P/P_{0}: 0.05–0.35) \). The total pore volumes were estimated according to nitrogen uptake at a relative pressure \( (P/P_{0}) \) of ca. 0.990. The pore size distribution and pore diameter were derived from the desorption branch of the N2 isotherms using Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH)
Table 1. Physicochemical properties of surfactants di-block copolymer type commercialized under the designation Brij® S 100 and Triton™ X-114.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Molar weight (g/mol)</th>
<th>HLB*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brij® S 100</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{37}(OCH_{2}CH_{2})_{100}-OH</td>
<td>4670</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyoxylethylene (100) stearyl ether</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton™ X-114</td>
<td>C_{14}H_{21}(OCH_{2}CH_{2})_{8}-OH</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol tert-octylphenyl ether</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hydrophilic-lyophilic balance

Table 2. Textural and structural parameters of materials calcined at 550°C under air for 6 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Surfactant mass</th>
<th>D_{pore-pore}</th>
<th>S_{BET}</th>
<th>V_{p}</th>
<th>Ø</th>
<th>Wall Thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG-6-1</td>
<td>6g Brij® S 100; 24h; 100°C</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>999 (163)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG-3-1</td>
<td>3g Brij® S 100; 24h; 100°C</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>896 (498)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2-48-80</td>
<td>2g Triton™ X-114; 48h; 80°C</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>910 (801)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5-48-80</td>
<td>5g Triton™ X-114; 48h; 80°C</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>809 (759)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Corresponding to the d value of the characteristic X-ray reflection of the calcined products.
b Calculated at P/P=0.99.
c Pore diameter calculated from 4V/S by BET method.
d Wall thickness= Dpore-pore- Ø.e Microporous surface or volume.
f Used with 30 g of H₂O: 120 ml HCl: 9 g TEOS.

Figure 1. Low-angle XRD patterns of calcined samples from Triton X114-TEOS system.
microscopy (TEM) images of the mesostructures were obtained from ultrathin sections (~50 nm) of epoxy resin-embedded samples.

4. Extraction of creatinine
To determine the concentration of creatinine (2-Amino-1-methyl-5H-imidazol-4-one; C₉H₁₇N₃O; N* CAS 60-27-5), blood samples were collected by venipuncture at the crease of the elbow in fasted subjects. The blood is subsequently collected into heparin tubes and labeled for each patient. The blood samples are centrifuged at a speed of 2,500 rpm for 10 min. Creatinine is titrated in biological fluids by the widely used colorimetric method [30, 31]. Samples of seven different male patients are made for the purposes of this study and the subjects are chosen from donors who undergo hemodialysis regularly. The plasma, obtained under high centrifugation of the blood sample, is poured onto the freshly prepared and calcined solid sample. The analysis is carried out by apparatus Unicel DxH 800, Beckman Coulter, after contact times set at 1, 2, and 24 h by measuring the concentrations of creatinine respectively before and after contact of the solid to estimate the rate of creatinine extraction. The amount of solid used is fixed at 100 mg for each analysis by contact of 1,000 μl of plasma.

Results and Discussion
The addition of proper concentrations of TEOS and nonionic surfactants pore-directing agents was found to be essential to obtain silica materials of ordered mesostructures in acid-free conditions. For all samples, XRD patterns recorded on calcined samples show the presence of a broad peak in the 2θ range of 1-4°. Thus, figure 1a shows the small-angle XRD patterns of calcined mesoporous silica materials prepared from TEOS/Triton X114, which exhibits single broad peak in the 2θ range of 0.5-3°, indicating a poorly ordered mesostructure lacking long-range structural order such as observed for mesostructured solids with worm-like pores [30]. This peak’s treatment shows, in fact, the presence of multiple reflections easily indexed in m3m cubic lattice and, if the correlation distance deduced from the main XRD peak can be attributed to the pore-pore distance, the calculation gives a value of 42.8 Å for both used amounts (x = 2 g; 5 g) of Triton X114 and significantly lower for synthesis with Brij® S100 (Table 2). Cubic arrangement of pores and worm-like mesoporous texture were clearly observed by TEM for both samples obtained from Triton X 114 and Brij® S100 (figure 2) that is usually observed with such surfactants [32, 33].

Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms are type IV for samples obtained from Brij S100 but the shape is different as shown in figure 3; Higher quantities of adsorbed N₂ were observed for BG-6-1 with a hysteresis of type H2 suggesting narrow diameters at the extremities of pores while BG-3-1 sample displayed a significant uptake of N₂ at high relative pressures (P/P₀ > 0.90), a signature of a high degree of textural porosity. The corresponding isotherm of X2-48-80 material featured sharp inflection in the intermediate P/P₀ region, indicating the presence of uniform mesopore channels; otherwise, the corresponding isotherm of X5-48-80 sample was type I characteristic of the microporous solids since we noted a large horizontal bearing in almost the whole domain of P/P₀. The very high surface areas (> to 800 m²/g) and pore volumes (generally in the range 0.40-1.20 cm³/g) measured from these isotherms (Table 2) were also characteristic of mesostructured materials. However, very high microporosity was observed in materials prepared from TEOS/Triton X114 couple which suggests probably an interconnection between micropores and mesopores.

The determination of creatinine concentration in samples of blood plasma, placed in contact with the freshly prepared materials and calcined beforehand, is given in Table 3 which includes all test results. Compared to a healthy subject (Cₐ = 0.07 mmole/l), the chosen concentrations are very high creatinine, and are significantly reduced after one hour of contact. Thus, for
Table 3. Extraction results of creatinine by adsorption from micro- and mesoporous materials calcined at 550°C under air for 6 hours; \(C_0\) initial creatine concentration; \(C_r\) remaining creatinine concentration; \(m_{ads}\) amount of creatinine adsorbed per gram of solid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solids</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>1h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>24h</th>
<th>1h</th>
<th>2h</th>
<th>24h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BG-6-1</td>
<td>BG-3-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control sample ((C_0=0.07\text{mmol/L}))</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample1 (C_0=0.71\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample2 (C_0=0.62\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample3 (C_0=0.68\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample4 (C_0=0.52\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample5 (C_0=0.45\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample6 (C_0=0.49\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample7 (C_0=0.52\text{mmol/L})</td>
<td>(C_r) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m_{ads}) (μmol/g)</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample 4 in contact with the BG-6-1 material, the extraction rate is estimated at 33.5% and 65.2% at 1 and 24 h respectively, which is equivalent to 1.73 and 3.37 μmole of creatinine extracted per gram of solid. Figure 4 indicates that the maximum rate of extraction is reached after 2 hours for BG-6-1 material. There is no correlation between the initial creatinine concentration and the mass extracted from creatinine. However, we note that beyond a concentration of 0.52 mmol/l, a significant decline in the rate of extraction of creatinine. Adsorption capacities depend on both, the texture of the material and the initial content of creatinine; for the same plasma sample and in the same operating conditions, it is found that materials which exhibit a significant microporosity as the X2-48-80 and X5-48-80 solids are those which adsorb more creatinine; it is likely that the organic molecule is trapped easily in the micropores after crossing the mesopores when they can. Moreover, with the same materials, the extraction rate is higher if the creatinine content is large initially in the plasma. This is not verified for other strictly mesoporous materials BG-6-1 and BG-3-1 where it is assumed that the creatinine molecule can both adsorb and desorb without geometric constraints of the pores.

Furthermore, it is stated that, during these analyzes, the urea is not extracted by these purely silica materials and whose surface consists essentially of siloxane and silanol bonds. Indeed, Silica being relatively simple and unreactive, can be thought of as a “pegboard” [34]. The functional groups that terminate silica include –OH groups, believed to occur in the
Figure 2. TEM image of wormhole pore structure and cubic arrangement of the calcined samples prepared from TEOS and: (a, b) Triton X-114; (c, d) Brij S100.

Figure 3. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms for the calcined samples formed from TEOS and: (a) Brij S100; (b) Triton X114 under acidic conditions. The insert provides the 4V/S pore size distributions.
following scheme as shown in figure 5. When the surface is heated, water is driven off; and if the temperature is raised to about 450°C, stable and relatively unreactive “siloxane bonds” are formed. Taking advantage of the reactivity of these groups, which are weakly acidic (pKₐ = 7) one can attach many kinds of organic molecules. Since urea is a very thermodynamically stable molecule and the interactions are weak at the
silica surface, it is easy to understand the absence of adsorption of urea, but not for creatinine molecule which has a delocalized π bond between the nitrogen atoms of the pentavalent cycle of her configuration. The interactions between the charged poles which occur at the surface, however weak, are responsible for the creatinine physisorption. This probable mechanism is based on a partial deprotonation of the molecule which keeps it fixed onto the surface.

To conclude, we have shown that creatinine is extracted in the presence of various forms of purely silica materials. Preliminary tests showed that the pore size, specific area surface and volume pore have a major influence on physisorption molecule. In the case of our experiments, we can conclude that the presence of micropores greatly favors the adsorption and probably silica-oxygen surface groups that are located on the surface are responsible for the interactions that allow creatinine fixation. Nowadays, there is a fast progress in application of amorphous micro- and mesoporous silica in medicine and biology. Therefore, one should be used into account the possibility of elimination different toxins in the presence of these materials.
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